Case 3:07-cv-03422-EDL Document 38-3 Filed 11/21/2007 Page 1 of 4

## **EXHIBIT 1**

	Case 3:07-cv-03422-EDL	Document 38-3	Filed 11/21/2007	Page 2 of 4
1	Scott R. Torpey (Cal. SB#153763) Jaffe Raitt Heuer & Weiss, P.C. 27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500 Southfield, Michigan 48034-8214 Telephone: (248) 351-3000 Facsimile: (248) 351-3802			
2				
4				
5	Email: storpey@jaffelaw.com			
6	-and-			
7	Jeffrey A. Worthe (Cal. SB# 080856) Worthe, Hanson & Worthe			
8	1851 E. First St., Ste. 900 Santa Ana, California 92705			
9	Telephone: (714) 285-9600 Facsimile: (714) 285-9700 Email: jworthe@whwlawcorp.com Attorneys for Defendant United Air Lines, Inc.			
10				
11				
12 13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13				
15	ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS CO. LTD.	MPANI, )		th D. Laporte
16	Plaintiff,	)		
17	vs	)		
18	UNITED AIR LINES, INC.,	)		
19	Defenda	nt. )		
20		)		
21	DECLARATION OF SCOTT TORPEY			
22				
23	STATE OF MICHIGAN	)	SS.	
24   25	COUNTY OF OAKLANI	)		
26	I, Scott Torpey, being duly sworn according to law, hereby depose and states:			
27	I am a partner at the law firm of Jaffe Raitt Heuer & Weiss, P.C., in Southfield			
28	Michigan I am duly licensed and qualified to practice law in California I represent defendan			
	Declaration of Scott R Torpey			
	1469487 01			

13

20

21

25

26 27

28

- United Air Lines, Inc., ("United") in the above-captioned matter, which All Nippon Airways Company, Ltd., ("ANA") filed against United on June 28, 2007. I make this Declaration pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 2(c) and Civ. L.R. 1-5(n).
- 2.. Previously, I noticed the deposition of ANA's PMK on the subject of the parties' Standard Ground Handling Agreement ("SGHA") for November 30, 2007. A true and correct copy of the notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- This Court undoubtedly is well aware of the controversy concerning the issue of the 3.. PMK on the SGHA. On November 13, 2007, this Court entered its order compelling ANA to identify its PMK on the SGHA and make him or her available for deposition in either the United States or Japan, depending on the circumstances. (Doc. No. 36.)
- 4. On November 15, 2007, ANA noticed the deposition of United's PMK on the SGHA for January 8, 2007, a date it arbitrarily set without conferring with me in accordance with Civ. L.R. 30-1. To date, ANA has not identified its PMK on the SGHA and/or given United dates, times, and locations for the deposition of ANA's PMK.
- 5.. In a phone conference on November 16, 2007, I informed ANA's counsel, Marshall S. Turner, that I objected to his November 15, 2007, deposition notice because it was arbitrarily scheduled and because ANA's refusal to produce its PMK on November 30, 2007, has now resulted in the situation where ANA is attempting to obtain a priority in the PMK deposition as a direct result of its refusal to cooperate in discovery. Mr. Turner's reply was that, notwithstanding the fact I had long ago noticed the deposition of ANA's PMK on the SGHA for November 30, 2007, and ANA avoided this date without having any legally cognizable justification (as this Court has recognized), there is no priority involved in the deposition process and he essentially has until mid-March 2008 to identify and produce ANA's PMK on the SGHA He refused to withdraw his deposition notice
- 6. In a follow-up letter sent November 16, 2007, I explained to Mr. Turner that his attempt to notice the deposition of United's PMK while refusing to comply with the previously noticed date of November 30, 2007, for United's PMK is untenable. This letter does contain a typo and identifies the previously noticed deposition date as "January 30, 2007." Within

context and in light of our earlier phone conversation, however, there is no basis upon which Mr. Turner can argue he did not discern the true meaning of my letter, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

- 7. On November 19, 2007, I held another telephone conference with Mr. Turner and reiterated my objection to his November 15, 2007, deposition notice. Mr. Turner again refused to withdraw this notice, even when I informed him that I was prepared to file a Motion for Protective Order on this issue. I then sent another letter to him in this regard on November 20 (Exhibit C).
- 8.. Based on the foregoing, I believe I have taken reasonable and adequate steps to meet and confer on these issues and submit this Declaration

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $2/\frac{s\tau}{s}$  day of October 2007.

Scott R. Torpey

My Commission Expires:

COMMISSION EXPIRES DECEMBER 22, 2007

Acting in Oakland County